

LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

The 28th May, 1982

No. 12(328)-78-3Lab.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 27 of the Payment of Bonus Act, 1965, and all other powers enabling him in this behalf, the Governor of Haryana hereby appoints the Labour Inspector, Circle-I, Faridabad and the Labour Inspector, Circle-II, Faridabad, to be inspecting Officers for the purposes of the said Act within their local limits as specified against each and further makes the following amendment in Haryana Government, Labour and Employment Departments, notification No. 12(328)-78-3 Lab, dated the 14th August, 1981:—

Amendment

In the said notification, in the Table, for Serial numbers 48 and 49 and entries thereagainst, the following Serial numbers and entries there against shall be substituted, namely:—

“48. Labour Inspector,
Circle-I,
Faridabad

From Delhi Border to Holiday
Inn Right Hand side including
Neighbourhood No. 4 and 5 of
N. I. T. Faridabad of Faridabad
district

49. Labour Inspector,
Circle-II,
Faridabad

Neighbourhood No. 1 to 3,
Press Colony, Punjabi Colony
and Industrial Area of N.I.T.
Faridabad of Faridabad district.”

Corrigendum

No. 12(185)78-3Lab (Now 2Lab).—In the Notification issued,—*vide* No. 12(185)78-3Lab, dated 19th February, 1982, under the heading Employers Representatives at Sr. No. 2, the word ‘Ballabgarh’ may be read as ‘Bithlimgarh’ and at Sr. No. 8 the word ‘Faridabad’ may be read as ‘Fatehabad’.

2. Under the heading Employees Representatives, at Sr. No. 7, the word ‘Faridabad’ may be read as ‘G. T. Road, Panipat’.

H. L. GUGNANI,
Commissioner & Secretary.

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

The 1st June, 1982

No. ID/YMN/24/81/24724.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman and Shri Zalim and the management of M/s Vishal Metal Industries, Durga Garden, Jagadhri, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab-57/11245, dated the 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Zalim was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/HSR/34/82/24736.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Tara Chand Sharma and the management of M/s Sat Narain Ram Chander & Co. Shop No. 91, Commission Agents, New Manji, Sirsa, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3851-ASO(E)Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Tara Chand Sharma was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?